

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/843,799

Applicant(s)

MCLOONE ET AL.

Examiner

Minh H Chau

Art Unit

2854

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Wayne H. Porter.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Minh H Chau.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 July 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 35 and 47.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mikan (US # 6,469,694).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the differences between the instant invention and the applied prior art, especially the features regarding "a function lock selector" to enable functionality of the function keys to change between the first and second mode and maintain the selected mode until a subsequent actuation of the function lock selector is performed; also the independent claim 47 has been proposed to include the ammended language of "the command key functions including at least one of a file level command, a document completion command and an e-mail command" to more clearly define the scope of the claims and to overcome the prior art.. Further consideration and/or search will be made for this feature. .